

**PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA  
1325 G STREET, N.W. SUITE 800  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005**

**ORDER**

**January 7, 2016**

**FORMAL CASE NO. 1119, IN THE MATTER OF THE JOINT APPLICATION OF  
EXELON CORPORATION, PEPCO HOLDINGS, INC., POTOMAC ELECTRIC  
POWER COMPANY, EXELON ENERGY DELIVERY COMPANY, LLC AND NEW  
SPECIAL PURPOSE ENTITY, LLC FOR AUTHORIZATION AND APPROVAL OF  
PROPOSED MERGER TRANSACTION, Order No. 18082**

**I. INTRODUCTION**

1. By this Order, the Public Service Commission of the District of Columbia (“Commission”) grants the Motions to Correct Transcript of DC Solar United Neighborhoods (“DC SUN”); Exelon Corporation, Pepco Holdings, Inc., the Potomac Electric Power Company, Exelon Energy Delivery Company, LLC, New Special Purpose Entity, LLC (“SPE”) (collectively, the “Joint Applicants”); and the District of Columbia Government (“District Government”).<sup>1</sup> The Responses to the Commission’s Bench Data Requests filed by the Joint Applicants and the District Government shall be admitted into the evidentiary record of this proceeding. The District Government’s Notice of Filing shall be docketed as a public comment in this proceeding. Finally, the Commission denies DC SUN’s and the Grid2.0 Working Group’s (“Grid2.0”) requests to reject District Government’s Notice of Filing.

**II. BACKGROUND**

2. On April 30, 2014, Exelon Corporation (“Exelon”) announced its purchase of Pepco Holdings, Inc. (“PHI”), the parent company of the Potomac Electric Power Company (“Pepco”). On June 18, 2014, the Joint Applicants filed the Joint Application for approval by the Commission, pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 34-504 and 34-1001, for a change of control of Pepco to

---

<sup>1</sup> *Formal Case No. 1119, In the Matter of the Joint Application of Exelon Corporation, Pepco Holdings, Inc., Potomac Electric Power Company, Exelon Energy Delivery Company, LLC and New Special Purpose Entity, LLC for Authorization and Approval of Proposed Merger Transaction (“Formal Case No. 1119”), Motion to Correct Transcript of DC SUN (“DC SUN’s Motion”), filed December 9, 2015; Joint Applicants’ Motion to Correct the Non-unanimous Settlement Agreement Transcript (“Joint Applicants’ Motion”), filed December 9, 2015; and Motion of the District of Columbia Government to Correct Transcript of the Public Interest Hearing for the Non-unanimous Settlement Agreement (“District Government’s Motion”) filed December 9, 2015.*

be effected by the Proposed Merger of PHI with Purple Acquisition Corp., a wholly owned subsidiary of Exelon (“Joint Application”).<sup>2</sup>

3. Following four days of community hearings and 11 days of evidentiary hearings, the Commission, on August 27, 2015, issued Order No. 17947, which denied the Joint Application and found that the proposed merger as filed was not in the public interest.<sup>3</sup> On October 6, 2015, the Joint Applicants filed a Motion to Reopen the Record in *Formal Case No. 1119* to Allow for Consideration of a Non-unanimous Full Settlement Agreement and Stipulation (“NSA”), which was submitted as Attachment A to the filing.<sup>4</sup> In an Order issued October 28, 2015, the Commission granted the Motion to Reopen the Record.<sup>5</sup> A Community Hearing to allow public comment on the NSA was held on November 17-18, 2015, and an evidentiary hearing to allow the parties to submit evidence as to whether or not the NSA is in the public interest (“Public Interest Hearing”) was held on December 2-4, 2015.

4. At the Public Interest Hearing on December 2, 2015, the Commission issued a Bench Data Request to the Joint Applicants during the questioning of witness Casim Khouzami.<sup>6</sup> On December 7, 2015, the Joint Applicants provided their Response to the Commission’s Bench Data Request.<sup>7</sup> Also at the Public Interest Hearing on December 2, 2015, the Commission issued a Bench Data Request to the District Government during the questioning of witness Tommy

---

<sup>2</sup> See *Formal Case No. 1119*, Joint Application of Exelon Corporation, Pepco Holdings, Inc., Potomac Electric Power Company, Exelon Energy Delivery Company, LLC and New Special Purpose Entity, LLC for Authorization and Approval of Proposed Merger Transaction, p. 1, filed June 18, 2014 (“Joint Application”).

<sup>3</sup> *Formal Case No. 1119*, Order No. 17947, rel. August 27, 2015.

<sup>4</sup> *Formal Case No. 1119*, Motion of the Joint Applicants to Reopen the Record in *Formal Case No. 1119* to Allow for Consideration of Nonunanimous Full Settlement Agreement and Stipulation, or for Other Alternative Relief, filed October 6, 2015 (“Motion to Reopen”). The Joint Applicants previously filed an Application for Reconsideration of Order No. 17947 on September 28, 2015; however, action on the merits of that Application was tolled by Order No. 18009 dated October 26, 2015, until such time as the Commission renders a decision on the Nonunanimous Settlement Agreement or directs otherwise.

<sup>5</sup> *Formal Case No. 1119*, Order No. 18011, rel. October 28, 2015.

<sup>6</sup> *Formal Case No. 1119*, Public Interest Hearing Transcript (“Tr.”) at 281:12 through 282:1 (questioning of C. Khouzami, December 2, 2015). The question addressed to Mr. Khouzami was: “How much wind generation does Exelon currently own within the PJM region, the PJM states?”

<sup>7</sup> *Formal Case No. 1119*, Joint Applicants’ Response to the Commission’s Bench Data Request, filed December 7, 2015.

Wells.<sup>8</sup> On December 7, 2015, the District Government provided its Response to the Commission's Bench Data Request.<sup>9</sup>

5. On December 9, 2015, DC SUN, Joint Applicants, and the District Government filed Motions to Correct the Transcripts of the Public Interest Hearing.<sup>10</sup> On December 18, 2015, the District Government filed a Notice of Filing.<sup>11</sup> In response to the Notice, DC SUN and Grid2.0 filed their Opposition to the District Government's Notice of Filing, on December 21, 2015.<sup>12</sup> In addition, on December 21, 2015, the Joint Applicants and the District Government filed Responses to DC SUN's and Grid2.0's Opposition to District Government's Notice of Filing.<sup>13</sup>

### **III. DISCUSSION**

#### **A. Response to the Commission's Bench Data Request**

6. As mentioned above, the Joint Applicants and District Government have each provided responses to the Commission's questions and bench data requests during the December 2, 2015, Public Interest Hearing. The Commission hereby admits the Joint Applicants' and District Government's responses into the evidentiary record of this proceeding.

#### **B. Motions to Correct the Transcripts of the Public Interest Hearing**

7. DC SUN, Joint Applicants, and the District Government, (collectively, "Movants") have incorporated charts with their Motions to Correct. These charts contain citation references to the sections of the Public Interest Hearing transcript that the Movants assert require correction. Each Movant's chart is included as an Attachment to this Order in the following manner: DC SUN's list of transcript corrections is Attachment A; Joint Applicants' list of

---

<sup>8</sup> *Formal Case No. 1119*, Tr. at 165:18 through 166:1 (questioning of Tommy Wells, December 2, 2015). The question addressed to Mr. Wells was: "To your knowledge, has Pepco or PHI provided workforce training funds for projects – or for sustainable jobs that are currently in the District – in coordination with anything that DOEE is doing?"

<sup>9</sup> *Formal Case No. 1119*, District Government's Response to the Commission's Bench Data Request, filed December 7, 2015.

<sup>10</sup> *Formal Case No. 1119*, DC SUN's Motion, filed December 9, 2015; Joint Applicants' Motion, filed December 9, 2015; and District Government's Motion filed December 9, 2015.

<sup>11</sup> *Formal Case No. 1119*, District Government's Notice of Filing of the Letter from City Administrator, Rashad M. Young to Tommy Wells, Director of Department of Energy and Environment, dated December 18, 2015, filed December 18, 2015 ("District Government's Notice of Filing").

<sup>12</sup> *Formal Case No. 1119*, DC SUN's and Grid2.0's Opposition to District Government's Notice of Filing ("DC SUN's Opposition"), filed December 21, 2015.

<sup>13</sup> *Formal Case No. 1119*, Joint Applicants Response to DC SUN's and Grid2.0's Opposition to District Government's Notice of Filing ("Joint Applicants Response"), filed December 21, 2015; District Government's Response to DC SUN's and Grid2.0's Opposition ("District Government's Response"), filed December 21, 2015.

transcript corrections is Attachment B; and the District Government's list of transcript corrections is Attachment C.

8. The Commission reviewed the Movants' proposed corrections to the Public Interest Hearing transcript spanning December 2, 2015 through December 4, 2015. The Movants' propose changes to correct typographical errors or provide clarification to the transcript. None of the Movants' proposed changes materially or substantively change the testimony set forth in the transcript. The Commission notes, however, that District Government's proposed correction at page 33, line 15 is actually located at page 18, line 15 of the Public Interest Hearing transcript.<sup>14</sup> The Commission also notes that District Government's proposed correction at page 501, line 9 is actually located at page 504, line 9 of the transcript.<sup>15</sup> Thus, we grant the Movants' motions to correct the transcript, including the proposed correction at page 33 that actually is located at page 18, line 15, and the proposed correction at page 501, which is actually located at page 504, line 9 of the Public Interest Hearing transcript. In addition, the Commission notes that at page 314, line 7 of the Public Interest Hearing transcript, the word "line" should be replaced with "my."<sup>16</sup> Thus, the Commission *sua sponte* modifies the transcript at page 314, line 7 as noted.

### C. District Government's Notice of Filing

9. In its Notice of Filing, the District Government included a letter from the City Administrator, Mr. Rashad Young, to the Director of the Department of Energy and Environment ("DOEE"), Mr. Tommy Wells, in support of the Director's testimony during the Public Interest Hearing.<sup>17</sup> The December 18 Letter includes the statement "the Bowser Administration considers the Settlement Agreement to be a commitment to use these funds for the purposes set forth in the Settlement Agreement [and] ... the Bowser Administration will actively oppose any effort by any entity to sweep or otherwise divert the funds from these purposes."<sup>18</sup>

10. In their Opposition to the Notice of Filing, DC SUN and Grid2.0 ("the Opponents") argue that the Notice is impermissible under the Commission's rules and, if permitted, would give the District Government an unfair, additional opportunity to submit testimony that District Government neglected to file on a timely basis.<sup>19</sup> The Opponents also argue that the notice is untimely and that it seeks to supplement the record with the December 18 Letter.<sup>20</sup> The Opponents expound on the merits and substance of the December 18 Letter and the

<sup>14</sup> See *Formal Case No. 1119*, Public Interest Hearing Transcript ("Tr.") at 33:16 and 18:15 (December 2, 2015).

<sup>15</sup> See *Formal Case No. 1119*, Public Interest Hearing Transcript Tr. at 504:9 (December 3, 2015).

<sup>16</sup> See *Formal Case No. 1119*, Public Interest Hearing Transcript Tr. at 314:7 (December 2, 2015).

<sup>17</sup> *Formal Case No. 1119*, District Government's Notice of Filing at Attachment.

<sup>18</sup> *Formal Case No. 1119*, District Government's Notice of Filing at Attachment.

<sup>19</sup> *Formal Case No. 1119*, DC SUN's Opposition at 1.

<sup>20</sup> *Formal Case No. 1119*, DC SUN's Opposition at 1.

impact of its statements on the Settlement itself.<sup>21</sup> The Opponents further argue that the letter is “pseudo testimony” prejudicial to the non-settling parties and that the “plans” outlined in the December 18 Letter were not submitted while Mr. Young was under oath, nor was he subject to cross-examination.<sup>22</sup> Additionally, the Opponents argue that the December 18 Letter is not binding as Mr. Young only speaks for the “Bowser administration,” and not for any subsequent administration, the District of Columbia Council, or the District’s Chief Financial Officer, any of which may alter these “plans” in an instant.<sup>23</sup> The Opponents contend that the December 18 Letter binds no one and may not be the basis for a Commission determination and should not be admitted.<sup>24</sup>

11. In their Response, the Joint Applicants argue that the Opposition is meritless.<sup>25</sup> The Joint Applicants assert that the Opposition does not, and cannot, identify any rule or order of the Commission that the Notice of Filing violates.<sup>26</sup> The Joint Applicants point out that the District Government submitted the Notice of Filing before “the close of the record in this proceeding.” The Joint Applicants also point out that the Commission has continued to receive submissions into the record of this proceeding.<sup>27</sup> The Joint Applicants then discuss the substantive merits of the December 18 Letter itself and its impact regarding the commitment of the Settlement Funds and their treatment.<sup>28</sup> The Joint Applicants make the point that the Opponents’ complaints properly apply to the weight the Commission should give to the December 18 Letter, not whether the letter should be rejected per the Opponents’ request.<sup>29</sup> The Joint Applicants add that the December 18 Letter is consistent with, and merely affirms, Mr. Wells’ testimony from the Public Interest Hearing regarding “the plans and vision for this administration to expend [Settlement] funds exactly as they’ve been negotiated.”<sup>30</sup> In addition, the Joint Applicants assert that the December 18 Letter is significant in that it reflects the Administration’s official position confirming to the Commission that it “considers the Settlement Agreement to be a commitment to use funds for the purposes set forth in the Settlement Agreement.”<sup>31</sup>

---

<sup>21</sup> Formal Case No. 1119, DC SUN’s Opposition at 2-3.

<sup>22</sup> Formal Case No. 1119, DC SUN’s Opposition at 3.

<sup>23</sup> Formal Case No. 1119, DC SUN’s Opposition at 3.

<sup>24</sup> Formal Case No. 1119, DC SUN’s Opposition at 3.

<sup>25</sup> Formal Case No. 1119, Joint Applicants Response at 1.

<sup>26</sup> Formal Case No. 1119, Joint Applicants Response at 1.

<sup>27</sup> Formal Case No. 1119, Joint Applicants Response at 1.

<sup>28</sup> Formal Case No. 1119, Joint Applicants Response at 2-4.

<sup>29</sup> Formal Case No. 1119, Joint Applicants Response at 3.

<sup>30</sup> Formal Case No. 1119, Joint Applicants Response at 4.

<sup>31</sup> Formal Case No. 1119, Joint Applicants Response at 4.

12. In its Response to the Opponents, District Government argues that the December 18 Letter is neither evidence nor testimony.<sup>32</sup> The District Government asserts that the December 18 Letter sets forth the Administration's commitment regarding the District's use of funds it is to receive pursuant to the NSA.<sup>33</sup> The District Government disagrees with the Opponents' assertion that the December 18 Letter constitutes "post-briefing testimony."<sup>34</sup> The Notice of Filing was made before the closing of the record and is, therefore, a timely submission for this proceeding. The proponent of the Notice of Filing, the District Government, concedes that the Notice of Filing and the December 18 Letter were not filed as additional testimony or as evidence.<sup>35</sup> The Notice of Filing and attached Letter, having been filed prior to the close of the record in this proceeding, will be treated the same as other public comments regarding the NSA that were timely submitted and docketed in this case.

13. **THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED THAT:** The Joint Applicants' and the District Government's Responses to the Commission's Bench Requests shall be **ADMITTED** into the evidentiary record of this proceeding;

14. The Motions to Correct Transcript of DC SUN, Joint Applicants and the District of Columbia Government are hereby **GRANTED** in accordance with the directives in Paragraph 8 of this Order;

15. The word "line" shall be replaced with the word "my" on page 314, line 7 of the Public Interest Hearing transcript;

16. The Commission **DENIES** DC SUN's and Grid2.0's request to reject the District Government's Notice of Filing; and

17. The District of Columbia Government's Notice of Filing and attached December 18 Letter shall be docketed as a public comment in this proceeding.

**A TRUE COPY:**

**BY DIRECTION OF THE COMMISSION:**

**CHIEF CLERK:**

**BRINDA WESTBROOK-SEDGWICK**  
**COMMISSION SECRETARY**

---

<sup>32</sup> *Formal Case No. 1119*, District Government's Response at 1.

<sup>33</sup> *Formal Case No. 1119*, District Government's Response at 1.

<sup>34</sup> *Formal Case No. 1119*, District Government's Response at 1.

<sup>35</sup> *Formal Case No. 1119*, District Government's Response at 1.

**ATTACHMENT A**

**BEFORE THE  
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION  
OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

**IN THE MATTER OF THE MERGER OF EXELON  
CORPORATION, PEPCO HOLDINGS, INC.,  
POTOMAC ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY,  
EXELON ENERGY DELIVERY COMPANY, LLC  
AND NEW SPECIAL PURPOSE ENTITY LLC**

**Formal Case No. 1119**

**DC SUN'S MOTION TO CORRECT TRANSCRIPT**

Pursuant to the revised procedural schedule announced by the  
Commission at the close of the Public Interest Hearing on December 4, 2015, DC  
SUN submits the following transcript corrections:

<b>Citation</b>	<b>Change from</b>	<b>Change to</b>
159:4	found	fund
472:6	signed	assigned

Date: December 9, 2015

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Randall L. Speck

Randall L. Speck  
D.C. Bar No. 942607  
KAYE SCHOLER LLP  
901 Fifteenth Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20005  
(202) 682-3500  
randall.speck@kayescholer.com

ATTORNEY FOR DC SUN



**ATTACHMENT B**

**BEFORE THE  
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION  
OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

<b>IN THE MATTER OF THE MERGER OF</b>	)	
<b>EXELON CORPORATION, PEPCO</b>	)	<b>Formal Case No. 1119</b>
<b>HOLDINGS, INC., POTOMAC ELECTRIC</b>	)	
<b>POWER COMPANY, EXELON ENERGY</b>	)	
<b>DELIVERY COMPANY, LLC AND NEW</b>	)	
<b>SPECIAL PURPOSE ENTITY, LLC</b>	)	

**MOTION TO CORRECT THE PUBLIC INTEREST HEARING TRANSCRIPT**

Pursuant to the directive of the District of Columbia Public Service Commission (“PSC” or “Commission”) during the Public Interest Hearing in the above-captioned docket,<sup>1</sup> Exelon Corporation, Pepco Holdings, Inc., Exelon Corporation (“Exelon”), Pepco Holdings, Inc. (“PHI”), Potomac Electric Power Company (“Pepco”), Exelon Energy Delivery Company, LLC (“EEDC”), and New Special Purpose Entity, LLC (“SPE”) (collectively, the “Joint Applicants”) respectfully request that the Commission correct the following pages and lines of the Nonunanimous Settlement Agreement (“NSA”) Public Interest Hearing transcript of the public interest hearings held between December 2-4, 2015 as proposed below. Joint Applicants’ corrections are proposed in order to clarify statements, correct transcription errors, and remove duplicative or unnecessary words to ensure the full, accurate and complete reflection of the evidentiary proceedings. No party would be harmed by the grant of this Motion.

---

<sup>1</sup> NSA Tr. at 796:22-797:3 (Dec. 4, 2015).

## PROPOSED CORRECTIONS TO HEARING TRANSCRIPT

### PRELIMINARY MATTERS AND OPENING STATEMENT

Page	Line	Change	Reason for Change
19	20	Change “chairman” to “Chairman”	Transcription Error
20	5	Change “office” to “Office”	Transcription Error
20	6	Change “people’s counsel” to “People’s Counsel”	Transcription Error
20	8	Change “Commission” to “Commissions”	Transcription Error
20	17	Change “made” to “made it”	Transcription Error
21	19	Change “chairman” to “Chairman”	Transcription Error
22	3	Change “office of people’s counsel” to “Office of People’s Counsel”	Transcription Error
22	15	Change “customer” to “customers and”	Transcription Error
23	1	Change “detail, that” to “detail. That”	Transcription Error
23	7	Change “Commission” to “District”	Clarification
23	8	Change “their” to “the”	Transcription Error
23	15	Change “master meter departments” to “master metered apartments”	Transcription Error
24	10	Change “N” to “M”	Transcription Error
24	19	Change “Columbia” to “Columbia,”	Transcription Error
25	10	Change “District. A” to “District, a”	Transcription Error
25	19	Change “million but” to “million. But”	Transcription Error
26	10	Change “the” to “The”	Transcription Error
26	22	Change “each” to “reach”	Transcription Error
27	19	Change “counsel” to “Counsel”	Transcription Error
27	21	Change “counsel” to “Counsel”	Transcription Error

### CARIM V. KHOUZAMI

Page	Line	Change	Reason for Change
184	13	Change “RECRI” to “equity”	Transcription Error
190	7	Change “value metric” to “volumetric”	Transcription Error
200	11	Change “BG” to “BGE”	Transcription Error
207	20	Change “in-planning” to “implan”	Transcription Error
208	7	Change “in-plan” to “implan”	Transcription Error
217	1	Change “aspect” to “asset”	Transcription Error
218	3	Change “earner” to “earn”	Transcription Error
226	17	Change “Cisco” to “PHISCo”	Transcription Error
228	1	Change “Surely” to “Shortly”	Transcription Error
229	22	Change “HPI” to “PHI”	Transcription Error
270	15	Change “an” to “and”	Transcription Error
277	14	Change “allegations” to “allocations”	Transcription Error
283	18	Change “PJN” to “PJM”	Transcription Error

**DAVID VELAZQUEZ**

<b>Page</b>	<b>Line</b>	<b>Change</b>	<b>Reason for Change</b>
293	9	Change “subjecting” to “supporting”	Transcription Error
299	19	Change “have” to “are”	Clarification
304	4	Change “funded” to “funding”	Clarification
306	5	Change “cross” to “across”	Transcription Error
308	10	Change “is” to “are”	Clarification
309	5	Change “certain” to “certainly”	Transcription Error
316	1	Change “either” to “neither”	Transcription Error
316	3	Change “either” to “neither”	Transcription Error
316	6	Change “either” to “neither”	Transcription Error
326	12	Change “QSS” to “EQSS”	Transcription Error
327	9	Change “about” to “above”	Transcription Error
335	13	Change “grids” to “rates”	Transcription Error
335	15	Change “grids” to “rates”	Transcription Error
335	16	Change “grids” to “rates”	Transcription Error
340	7	Change “Joint Exhibit” to “Joint Applicants Exhibit”	Clarification

**SUSAN F. TIERNEY, Ph.D**

<b>Page</b>	<b>Line</b>	<b>Change</b>	<b>Reason for Change</b>
354	18	Change “in a” to “and”	Transcription Error
355	5	Change second “income” to “income –”	Clarification
355	6	Remove “a”	Clarification
356	3	Change “here, it’s” to “here. It’s”	Clarification
358	1	Change “in” to “of”	Clarification
358	19	Change “red herring” to “reliability”	Transcription Error
359	7	Change “would not be of inconsistency” to “would be inconsistent”	Clarification
360	14	Change “mergers;” to “mergers –”	Clarification
360	17	Delete “our”	Transcription Error
360	18	Change “liability” to “reliability”	Transcription Error
368	19	Delete “it as a –”	Clarification
387	15	Delete “comes”	Transcription Error
387	18	Change “& Electric” to “and Electric”	Transcription Error

### **CAPITALIZE THE TERM “JOINT APPLICANTS”**

The term “joint applicants” should be capitalized to “Joint Applicants” where it appears in the transcript, as follows:

<b>Page</b>	<b>Lines</b>
7	5
8	19
9	21-22
10	4
10	17
11	9-10
12	7-8
14	19-20
15	3
19	19
20	21
21	3-4
21	11-12
23	7
25	22
26	1
27	6
29	20
29	22
30	1
30	5
30	9
31	13
35	16-17
37	20
39	19
39	20-21
40	5
40	16
41	1
42	17-18
42	22
43	5
43	18
44	9-10
44	12
45	21
46	13-14

Page	Lines
55	20
56	12
68	10
68	20
88	6
95	8
98	12-13
103	9
109	11
149	15
170	8-9
170	18-19
178	13
179	16-17
180	13-14
181	19
182	9-10
196	20
197	14 (capitalize "applicants")
210	7
212	19-20
221	13-14
230	21
236	19
236	20
239	11-12
265	22
266	1
291	18-19
301	9
304	14
307	21
315	21
316	14-15
316	18
598	7
598	11-12
629	17-18
630	6
638	3
641	7
647	11
648	3
668	7

<b>Page</b>	<b>Lines</b>
738	12
743	3
743	18
747	2
756	7
760	3
771	22
772	1
772	19
784	8
792	1-2
792	5
793	15
799	13-14

**ATTACHMENT C**



**THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA GOVERNMENT'S CORRECTIONS TO THE  
PUBLIC INTEREST HEARING TRANSCRIPT FOR THE NON-UNANIMOUS  
SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT**

Page #	Line(s)	Correction
33	16	Change "turns" to "terms"
41	5	Change "Environmental" to "Environment"
41	8	Delete period between "LIHEAP" and "For", change "For" to "for"
41	9	Change "it" to "and"
43	7	Change "funds" to "fund"
43	8	Change "and" to "in"
43	14	Change "funds" to "fund"
43	22	Change "an" to "and"
45	12	Change "incurred" to "conferred"
46	4	Change "was" to "is"
46	9	Change "increase" to "freeze"
46	18	Delete "Over", change "the" to "The"
46	19	Delete comma and delete "it"
47	2	Delete "settlement agreement will promote the"
77	6	Change "MEIER" to "CALDWELL"
78	8	Change "you'd" to "he'd"
80	13	Change "and" to "an"
80	21	Delete "to" and the following dashes
81	8	Change "a" to "an"
88	9	Delete "in"
91	18	Delete "high"
94	3	Change "P&G" to "BG&E"
96	15	Change "protective" to "productive"
120	19	Insert "on" between "just" and "behest"
121	11	Change "align" to "alignment"
134	5	Delete "a"

**ATTACHMENT A**

Page #	Line(s)	Correction
146	6	Change “doing” to “going”
152	14	Change “DOE” to “DOEE”
159	9	Delete “2.”
162	3	Change “of” to “on”
162	5	Change “a” to “an”
166	19	Delete period after “and”, and change “Hopefully” to “hopefully”
169	20	Insert “and” between “awareness” and “that”
169	21	Insert “is” between “it” and “appropriate”
176	5	Change “firming work-up” to “framework up”
178	2	Change “we’d” to “we’ve”
346	3	Delete “ALAN J BARAK, ESQUIRE AMY E. McDONNELL, ESQUIRE”
346	4	Delete “JOHN P. COYLE, ESQUIRE”
497	12	Change “a” to “as”
501	9	Change “adapt” to “adopt”
504	18	Delete the period and insert a question mark
507	21	Change “shoulder” to “shareholder”
509	18	Change “it” to “that”
510	9	Delete “this lady’s”
510	10	Change “worldwide” to “below the line”
511	4-5	Change “you use” to “EU’s”
511	13	Delete “temp”
518	1	Change “so” to “to”
518	10	Change “said” to “had”
519	10	Change “they” to “the”
520	6	Change “the” to “that”
524	9	Change “count” to “account”
525	4	Change “were” to “where”
526	7	Change “revisions” to “provisions”
569	2	Change “DOE” to “DOEE”

## ATTACHMENT A